Functional Dependencies:

 A functional dependency (FD) is a relationship between two attributes, X and Y, if for every valid instance of X, that value of X uniquely determines the value of Y. This relationship is denoted as X → Y.

I.e. If column X of a table uniquely identifies column Y of the same table then it can be represented as $X \rightarrow Y$.

A functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$ in a relation holds if two or more tuples having the same value for X also have the same value for Y.

- The left side of the above FD notation is called the **determinant**, and the right side is the **dependent**.
- E.g.
 - a. SIN → Name, Birth date, Address means that SIN determines Name, Address and Birthdate. Given a SIN, we can determine any of the other attributes within the table.
 - b. **ISBN** \rightarrow **Title** means that ISBN determines Title.
- Types of functional dependencies:
 - 1. Multivalued dependency:
 - **Multivalued dependency** occurs when there are more than one independent multivalued attributes in a table.
 - E.g.

0		
Car_model	Maf_year	Color
H001	2017	Metallic
H001	2017	Green
H005	2018	Metallic
H005	2018	Blue
H010	2015	Metallic
H033	2012	Gray

In this example, maf_year and color are independent of each other but dependent on car_model. In this example, these two columns are said to be multivalued dependent on car_model.

This dependence can be represented like this: car_model \rightarrow maf_year car model \rightarrow colour

- 2. Trivial Functional dependency:
- The dependency of an attribute on a set of attributes is known as **trivial functional dependency** if the set of attributes includes that attribute.
- **Note:** $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is always a trivial functional dependency.
- E.g.

Consider a table with two columns Student_id and Student_Name.

{Student_Id, Student_Name} \rightarrow **Student_Id** is a trivial functional dependency as Student_Id is a subset of {Student_Id, Student_Name}.

Furthermore, Student_Id \rightarrow Student_Id & Student_Name \rightarrow Student_Name are trivial dependencies too.

- 3. Non-trivial Functional Dependency:
- A non-trivial functional dependency occurs when A → B where B is not a subset of A.

I.e. If a functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$ holds true where Y is not a subset of X then this dependency is called a non-trivial functional dependency.

- E.g.

Consider an employee table with three attributes: emp_id, emp_name, and emp_address.

The following functional dependencies are non-trivial:

 $emp_id \rightarrow emp_name \text{ (emp}_name \text{ is not a subset of emp}_id)$

emp_id \rightarrow **emp_address** (emp_address is not a subset of emp_id)

However, **{emp_id, emp_name}** \rightarrow **emp_name** is trivial because emp_name is a subset of {emp_id, emp_name}.

- 4. Transitive Dependency:
- A functional dependency is said to be **transitive** if it is indirectly formed by two functional dependencies.
- $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a transitive dependency if the following three functional dependencies hold true:
 - $X \rightarrow Y$
 - Y does not \rightarrow X
 - $\mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$

- E.g.

Book	Author	Author_age
Game of Thrones	George R. R. Martin	66
Harry Potter	J. K. Rowling	49
Dying of the Light	George R. R. Martin	66

$\{\mathsf{Book}\} \rightarrow \{\mathsf{Author}\}$

{Author} does not \rightarrow {Book}

{Author} \rightarrow {Author_age}

Therefore as per the rule of transitive dependency:

{Book} \rightarrow **{Author_age}** should hold. That makes sense because if we know the book name we can know the author's age.

- Note: A transitive dependency can only occur in a relation of three or more attributes.

Axioms of functional dependency:

- If we have X → Y and all the values in X are unique, then we know for sure that there is a valid functional dependency between X and Y.
- 2. Similarly, if we have $X \rightarrow Y$ and all the values in Y are the same, then we know for sure that there is a valid functional dependency between X and Y.
- 3. **Reflexive Axiom:** If X is a set of attributes and $Y \subseteq X$, then $X \rightarrow Y$.
- 4. Augmentation Axiom: If $X \rightarrow Y$ and Z is a set of attributes, then $XZ \rightarrow YZ$.
- 5. **Transitivity Axiom:** If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow Z$.
- 6. Union Axiom: If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$, then $X \rightarrow YZ$
- 7. **Decomposition Axiom:** If $X \rightarrow YZ$, then $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$.
- 8. **Pseudo Transitivity Axiom:** If $X \rightarrow Y$ and $WY \rightarrow Z$, then $WX \rightarrow Z$.

9. Composition Axiom: If $X \to Y$ and $Z \to W$, then $XZ \to YW$.

Note: The reflexive, augmentation and transitivity axioms are called the **Armstrong Axioms**.

- Closure/Attribute Closure:
- Defined as "Given a set of attributes, what are the other attributes that can be fetched from it."
- The closure of an attribute, A, is denoted as A⁺.
- Equivalence of functional dependencies:
- Let FD1 and FD2 are two FD sets for a relation R.
 - If all FDs of FD1 can be derived from FDs present in FD2, we can say that FD1 ⊆ FD2.
 - If all FDs of FD2 can be derived from FDs present in FD1, we can say that FD2 ⊆ FD1.
 - 3. If 1 and 2 both are true, FD1 = FD2.
- Irreducible set of functional dependencies/Canonical Form:
- Whenever a user updates the database, the system must check whether any of the functional dependencies are getting violated in this process. If there is a violation of dependencies in the new database state, the system must roll back. Working with a huge set of functional dependencies can cause unnecessary added computational time. This is where the canonical cover comes into play.
- A canonical cover of a set of functional dependencies F is a simplified set of functional dependencies that has the same closure as the original set F.
- Examples:
 - 1. Given the table and the functional dependencies below, show and explain which functional dependencies are valid and which are invalid.

А	В	С	D	E
а	2	3	4	5
а	2	3	6	5
2	а	3	4	5

 $\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow BC \\ DE \rightarrow C \\ C \rightarrow DE \\ BC \rightarrow A \end{array}$

 $\mathsf{PC} \to$

Soln:

1. $A \rightarrow BC$

This one is valid because if you look at the table, under column A, there are 2 a's, and they both correspond to 2 in column B and 3 in column C.

 $\text{2. } \mathsf{DE} \to \mathsf{C}$

This one is valid because there are 2 instances of {D: 4, E:5} and they both correspond to 3 in C.

3. $C \rightarrow DE$

This one is invalid because there are 3 instances of {C:3} but they correspond to different values in DE. In the second row, C = 3 corresponds to D = 6 and E = 5 while in rows 1 and 3, C = 3 corresponds to D = 4 and E = 5.

 $4. \quad BC \to A$

This one is valid because there are 2 instances of B = 2 and C = 3 and both times, they correspond to A = a.

2. Given a relational R with attributes A, B and C, R(A,B,C), and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of A.

 $\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow B \\ B \rightarrow C \end{array}$

Soln:

 $A^* = \{A, B, C\}$ because A can determine A, and B. Furthermore, B can determine C.

3. Given a relational R with attributes A, B, C, D, E, and F, R(A,B,C,D,E,F), and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of D and DE.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} \rightarrow \mathsf{B} \\ \mathsf{C} \rightarrow \mathsf{DE} \\ \mathsf{AC} \rightarrow \mathsf{F} \\ \mathsf{D} \rightarrow \mathsf{AF} \\ \mathsf{E} \rightarrow \mathsf{CF} \end{array}$

Soln:

 $D^* = \{A, B, D, F\}$ because D can determine A, D and F. Furthermore, A can determine B.

 $(DE)^{+} = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$ because D can determine A, D and F. Furthermore, A can determine B. E can determine E, C and F.

4. Given R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G) and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of AC.

 $\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow B \\ BC \rightarrow DE \\ AEG \rightarrow G \end{array}$

Soln:

 $(AC)^{+} = \{A, C, B, D, E\}$ because AC can determine A and C. Then, A can determine B. Then, BC can determine D and E.

5. Given R(A, B, C, D, E) and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of B.

 $\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow BC \\ B \rightarrow D \\ CD \rightarrow E \\ E \rightarrow A \\ \hline \textbf{Soln:} \\ B^{*} = \{B, D\} \mbox{ because } B \mbox{ can determine } B \mbox{ and } D. \end{array}$

6. Given R(A, B, C, D, E, F) and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of AB.

 $\begin{array}{l} AB \rightarrow C \\ BC \rightarrow DE \\ D \rightarrow E \\ CA \rightarrow B \end{array}$

Soln:

 $(AB)^{+} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

7. Given R(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H) and the following functional dependencies, find the closure of BCD.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{BC} \\ \mathsf{CD} \to \mathsf{E} \\ \mathsf{E} \to \mathsf{C} \\ \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{AEH} \\ \mathsf{ABH} \to \mathsf{BD} \\ \mathsf{DH} \to \mathsf{BC} \\ \mathsf{BCD} \to \mathsf{H} \end{array}$

Soln:

 $(BCD)^{+} = \{B, C, D, H, E, A\}$

8. Given R(A, C, D, E, H) and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies Set 1: A → C AC → D E → ADH
Set 2:

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

Soln:

 $A \rightarrow CD$ $E \rightarrow AH$

Step 1: Check if all of the FDs of Set 1 are in Set 2. To do so, I will compute the closures of A, AC and E using the functional dependencies of Set 2.

 $A^* = \{A, C, D\}$ (Knowing A, I can get A, C and D.) (AC)^{*} = $\{A, C, D\}$ (Knowing A, I can get A, C and D. Knowing C, I can get C.) $E^* = \{E, A, H, C, D\}$ (Knowing E, I can get E, A and H. Knowing A, I can get C and D.)

Since the FDs of Set 1 are in the closure of each LHS item computed using the FDs of set 2, we know that Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2.

A⁺ in Set 1 = {A, C} but A⁺ computed using the FDs of Set 2 = {A, C, D}. (AC)⁺ in Set 1 = {A, C, D} but (AC)⁺ computed using the FDs of Set 2 = {A, C, D}. E⁺ in Set 1 = {E, A, D, H} but E⁺ computed using the FDs of Set 2 = {E, A, H, C, D}.

Hence, Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2.

Step 2: Check if all of the FDs of Set 2 are in Set 1. To do so, I will compute the closures of A and E using the functional dependencies of Set 1.

 A^+ = {A, C, D} (Knowing A, I can get A and C. Knowing AC, I can get D.) E^+ = {E, A, D, H, C} (Knowing E, I can get E, A, D and H. Knowing A, I can get C.)

A⁺ in Set 2 = {A, C, D} but A⁺ computed using the FDs of Set 1= {A, C, D}. E⁺ in Set 2 = {E, A, H} but A⁺ computed using the FDs of Set 1 = {E, A, D, H, C}. Hence, Set 2 \subseteq Set 1.

Since Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2 and Set $2 \subseteq$ Set 1, Set 1 = Set 2.

9. Given R(P, Q, R, S) and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies Set 1:

 $P \rightarrow Q$ $Q \rightarrow R$ $R \rightarrow S$ Set 2: $P \rightarrow QR$ $R \rightarrow S$

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

Soln:

Step 1: $P^{+} = \{P, Q, R, S\}$ (Knowing P, I can get P, Q and R. Knowing R, I can get S.) $Q^{+} = \{Q\}$ (Knowing Q, I can get Q.) $R^{+} = \{R, S\}$ (Knowing R, I can get R and S.) Here, Set 1 \subseteq Set 2 because in Set 1, $Q^{+} = \{Q, R\}$ while in Set 2, $Q^{+} = \{Q\}$.

Step 2:

P⁺ = {P, Q, R, S} (Knowing P, I can get P and Q. Knowing Q, I can get R. Knowing R, I can get S.) R⁺ = {R, S} (Knowing R, I can get R and S.) Here, Set 2 ⊆ Set 1.

Therefore, Set $2 \subseteq$ Set 1.

10. Given R(A, B, C) and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies Set 1:

 $\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow B \\ B \rightarrow C \\ C \rightarrow A \\ Set 2: \\ A \rightarrow BC \\ B \rightarrow A \\ C \rightarrow A \end{array}$ We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

Soln:

Step 1: $A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$ $B^+ = \{B, A, C\}$ $C^+ = \{C, A, B\}$ Here, Set 1 \subseteq Set 2. Step 2: $A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$ $B^+ = \{B, C, A\}$ $C^+ = \{C, A, B\}$ Here, Set 2 \subseteq Set 1.

Therefore, Set 1 = Set 2.

11. Given R(V, W, X, Y, Z) and the following 2 sets of functional dependencies Set 1:

 $W \rightarrow X$ WX $\rightarrow Y$ Z $\rightarrow WY$ Z $\rightarrow V$ Set 2: W $\rightarrow XY$ Z $\rightarrow WX$

We want to know if the 2 sets of functional dependencies are equivalent.

Soln:

Step 1: $W^+ = \{W, X, Y\}$ $(WX)^+ = \{W, X, Y\}$ $Z^+ = \{Z, W, X, Y\}$ Here, Set 1 \subseteq Set 2. (V is not in Z⁺.)

Step 2: $W^+ = \{W, X, Y\}$ $Z^+ = \{Z, W, Y, V, X\}$ Here, Set 2 \subseteq Set 1.

Therefore, Set $2 \subseteq$ Set 1.

12. Given R(W, X, Y, Z) and the following set of functional dependencies

 $\begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow W \\ WZ \rightarrow XY \\ Y \rightarrow WXZ \end{array}$

We want to check for redundancy.

Soln:

The redundancy can occur at ∞ , β or $\infty \rightarrow \beta$.

Step 1: We will remove redundancies at the β level. To do this, we will apply the decomposition rule. $X \rightarrow W$ $WZ \rightarrow X$ $WZ \rightarrow Y$ $Y \rightarrow W$ $Y \rightarrow X$ $Y \rightarrow Z$

Now, we will find the closure of each item on the LHS, first with the	FD and
second without the FD.	

Variable	With FD	Without FD
X+	{X, W}	{X} (Without $X \rightarrow W$)
(WZ)⁺	{W, Z, X, Y}	{W, Z, X, Y} (Without WZ \rightarrow X) Redundant
(WZ)⁺	{W, Z, X, Y}	{W, Z, X} (Without WZ \rightarrow Y)
Y ⁺	{Y, W, X, Z}	{Y, X, Z, W} (Without $Y \rightarrow W$) Redundant
Y ⁺	{Y, W, X, Z}	{Y, Z, W, X} (Without $Y \rightarrow X$) Redundant
Y ⁺	{Y, W, X, Z}	{Y, X, W} (Without $Y \rightarrow Z$)

A FD is redundant if it can be recreated some other way.

Hence, the following FDs are redundant:

 $\begin{array}{l} WZ \rightarrow X \\ Y \rightarrow W \\ Y \rightarrow X \end{array}$

The canonical form are the following FDs: $X \rightarrow W$ $WZ \rightarrow Y$ $Y \rightarrow Z$

Step 2: We will remove redundancies at the ∞ level.

Note: We can't decompose WZ because if we do, we will get different closures. $(WZ)^+ = \{W, Z, X, Y\}$ $W^+ = \{W\}$ $Z^+ = \{Z\}$

Since we can't decompose WZ, nothing changes.

13. Given R(A, B, C, D) and the following set of functional dependencies

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{B} \\ \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{B} \\ \mathsf{D} \to \mathsf{A}\mathsf{B}\mathsf{C} \\ \mathsf{A}\mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{D} \end{array}$

We want to check for redundancy.

Soln:

Step 1: We will remove redundancies at the β level.

- $\mathsf{A}\to\mathsf{B}$
- $\begin{array}{c} C \rightarrow B \\ D \rightarrow A \end{array}$
- $D \rightarrow A$ $D \rightarrow B$
- $D \rightarrow D$ $D \rightarrow C$
- $D \rightarrow C$ AC $\rightarrow D$

Variable	With FD	Without FD	
A ⁺	{A, B}	{A} (Without $A \rightarrow B$)	
C⁺	{C, B}	{C} (Without $C \rightarrow B$)	
D+	{D, A, B, C}	$\{D, B, C\}$ (Without $D \rightarrow A$)	
D+	{D, A, B, C}	{D, A, C, B} (Without $D \rightarrow B$) Redundant	
D+	{D, A, B, C}	{D, A, B} (Without $D \rightarrow C$)	
(AC)⁺	{A, C, D, B}	{A, C, B} (Without AC \rightarrow D)	

The following FD is redundant: $D \rightarrow B$

The canonical form are the following FDs:

 $\begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow B \\ C \rightarrow B \\ D \rightarrow A \\ D \rightarrow C \\ AC \rightarrow D \end{array}$

Step 2: We will remove redundancies at the ∞ level.

Note: We can't decompose AC because if we do, we will get different closures. $(AC)^+ = \{A, C, D, B\}$ $A^+ = \{A, B\}$ $C^+ = \{C, B\}$ Since we can't decompose AC, nothing changes. 14. Given R(V, W, X, Y, Z) and the following set of functional dependencies

 $\begin{array}{l} V \rightarrow W \\ VW \rightarrow X \\ Y \rightarrow VXZ \end{array}$

We want to check for redundancy.

Soln:

Step 1: We will remove redundancies at the β level.

 $\mathsf{V}\to\mathsf{W}$

 $\mathsf{VW}\to\mathsf{X}$

 $\mathsf{Y}\to\mathsf{V}$

 $\begin{array}{c} Y \to X \\ Y \to Z \end{array}$

 $Y \rightarrow Z$

Variable	With FD	Without FD
V ⁺	{V, W, X}	{V} (Without V \rightarrow W)
$(VW)^{+}$	{V, W, X}	{V, W} (Without VW \rightarrow X)
Y ⁺	{Y, V, X, Z, W}	{Y, X, Z} (Without $Y \rightarrow V$)
Y⁺	{Y, V, X, Z, W}	{Y, V, Z, W, X} (Without $Y \rightarrow X$) Redundant
Y*	{Y, V, X, Z, W}	{Y, V, X, W} (Without $Y \rightarrow Z$)

The following FD is redundant: $Y \rightarrow X$

The canonical form are the following FDs: $V \rightarrow W$ $VW \rightarrow X$ $Y \rightarrow V$ $Y \rightarrow Z$

Step 2: We will remove redundancies at the ∞ level. $(VW)^+ = \{V, W, X\}$ $V^+ = \{V, W, X\}$ $W^+ = \{W\}$

Hence, we can rewrite VW \rightarrow X into V \rightarrow X.

The canonical form are the following FDs: $V \rightarrow W$ $V \rightarrow X$ $Y \rightarrow V$ $Y \rightarrow Z$

Tutorial Notes:

E.g. 1. Determine if the 2 sets of FDs are equivalent.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Set 1} \\ \text{A} \rightarrow \text{BC} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Set 2} \\ \text{A} \rightarrow \text{B} \\ \text{A} \rightarrow \text{C} \end{array}$

Soln:

Step 1: Check if all the FDs of Set 1 are in Set 2. To do so, compute the closure of A using the FDs of Set 2. $A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$ We can see that A^+ in Set 1 is a subset of A^+ computed using the FDs of Set 2. Hence, Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2.

Step 2: Check if all the FDs of Set 2 are in Set 1. To do so, compute the closure of A using the FDs of Set 1. $A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$ We can see that A^+ in Set 2 is a subset of A^+ computed using the FDs of Set 1. Hence, Set $2 \subseteq$ Set 1.

Since Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2 and Set $2 \subseteq$ Set 1, Set 1 = Set 2, meaning they are equivalent.

E.g. 2. Suppose the FD BC \rightarrow D holds for relation R. Create an instance of relation R that breaks the FD. R(A, B, C, D)

A	В	C`	D
1	1	2	3
2	1	2	4

We see that there are 2 instances of 1|2 for B|C, but their D values are different. Hence, we cannot use B|C to uniquely determine D.

E.g. 3. Determine if the 2 sets of FDs are equivalent.

Set 1 PQ \rightarrow R Set 2

Soln:

 $P \rightarrow R$ $Q \rightarrow R$

Soln:

Step 1: Get the closure of PQ using the FDs from Set 2. $(PQ)^{+} = \{P, Q, R\}$

Step 2: Get the closure of P and Q using the FDs from Set 1. $(P)^{+} = \{P\}$ $(Q)^{+} = \{Q\}$

We can see that Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2 but Set $2 \nsubseteq$ Set 1. Hence, they are not equivalent.

E.g. 4. Determine if the 2 sets of FDs are equivalent.

Set 1 $PQ \rightarrow R$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Set 2} \\ \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{Q} \\ \mathsf{P} \to \mathsf{R} \end{array}$

Soln:

Step 1: Get the closure of PQ using the FDs from Set 2. $(PQ)^{+} = \{P, Q, R\}$

Step 2: Get the closure of P using the FDs from Set 1. $(P)^{+} = \{P\}$

We can see that Set $1 \subseteq$ Set 2 but Set $2 \nsubseteq$ Set 1. Hence, they are not equivalent.

E.g. 5. Given R(A, B, C, D, E, F) and the FDs $AC \rightarrow F$ CEF $\rightarrow B$ C $\rightarrow D$ DC $\rightarrow A$

a. Does the FD $C \rightarrow F$ hold?

Soln:

Another way of thinking about this is "Does the closure of C include F?" The closure of C = {C, D, A, F}. Yes, $C \rightarrow F$ holds.

b. Does the FD ACD \rightarrow B hold?

Soln:

The closure of ACD = {A, C, D, F}. Hence, ACD \rightarrow B does not hold.

Projection: E.g. 1. Given R(A, B, C, D, E) and the FDs $A \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow E$ $E \rightarrow BD$

Project these FDs onto R1(A, B, C).

Soln:

Let's find the closure of A, B and C. $A^* = \{A, C, E, B, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, B and C, we get the FD $A \rightarrow BC$. We ignore $A \rightarrow A$ because it's a trivial FD. $B^* = \{B\}$ This is a trivial FD, so we ignore it. $C^* = \{C, E, B, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, B and C, we get the FD C \rightarrow B.

The projection of the FDs onto R1 is $\{A \rightarrow BC, C \rightarrow B\}$.

E.g. 2. Given R(A, B, C, D, E) and the FDs $A \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow E$

 $E \rightarrow BD$

Project these FDs onto R1(A, D, E).

Soln:

Let's find the closure of A, D and E. $A^* = \{A, C, E, B, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, D and E, we get the FD $A \rightarrow DE$. We ignore $A \rightarrow A$ because it's a trivial FD. $D^* = \{D\}$ This is a trivial FD, so we ignore it. $E^* = \{E, B, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, D and E, we get the FD E \rightarrow D.

The projection of the FDs onto R1 is $\{A \rightarrow DE, E \rightarrow D\}$.

E.g. 3. Given R(A, B, C) and the FDs $A \rightarrow B$

 $\mathsf{B}\to\mathsf{C}$

Project these FDs onto R1(A, C).

Soln:

Let's find the closure of A and C. $A^+ = \{A, B, C\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A and C, we get the FD $A \rightarrow C$. We ignore $A \rightarrow A$ because it's a trivial FD. $C^+ = \{C\}$ This is a trivial FD, so we ignore it.

The projection of the FDs onto R1 is $\{A \rightarrow C\}$.

E.g. 4. Given R(A, B, C, D) and the FDs $A \rightarrow B$ $B \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow D$

Project these FDs onto R1(A, C, D).

Soln:

Let's find the closure of A, C, and D. $A^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, C and D, we get the FDs $A \rightarrow C$ and $A \rightarrow D$. We ignore $A \rightarrow A$ because it's a trivial FD. $C^+ = \{C, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, C and D, we get the FD $C \rightarrow D$. We ignore $C \rightarrow C$ because it's a trivial FD. $D^+ = \{D\}$ This is a trivial FD, so we ignore it.

The projection of the FDs onto R1 is $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow D \text{ and } C \rightarrow D\}$.

E.g. 5. Given R(A, B, C, D, E, F) and the FDs $A \rightarrow BC$ C $\rightarrow DE$ E $\rightarrow A$

Project these FDs onto R1(A, C, E).

Soln:

Let's find the closure of A, C, and E.

 A^+ = {A, B, C, D, E} However, since R1 only has the attributes A, C and E, we get the FDs A \rightarrow C and A \rightarrow E. We ignore A \rightarrow A because it's a trivial FD.

 $C^* = \{C, D, E, A\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, C and E, we get the FDs $C \rightarrow E$ and $C \rightarrow A$. We ignore $C \rightarrow C$ because it's a trivial FD.

 $E^* = \{E, A, B, C, D\}$ However, since R1 only has the attributes A, C and E, we get the FDs $E \rightarrow A$ and $E \rightarrow C$. We ignore $E \rightarrow E$ because it's a trivial FD.

The projection of the FDs onto R1 is $\{A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow E, C \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow A \text{ and } E \rightarrow C\}$.